1 2 3 4 5 6 7	LUCAS VALLEY LAW MARK K. de LANGIS (SBN 190083) 2110 Elderberry Lane San Rafael, California 94903 Telephone: (415) 472-3892 Facsimile: (415) 472-3977 mdelangis@lucasvalleylaw.com  Attorney for Plaintiff AMERICAN PRESIDENT LINES, LTD.		
8	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA	
10			
11	AMERICAN PRESIDENT LINES, LTD., a corporation,	No. C 07-3221 MMC	
12	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR:	
13 14	v. FORTA CORPORATION, a corporation,	BREACH OF MARITIME CONTRACT;	
15	Defendant.	<ul><li>2. OPEN ACCOUNT;</li><li>3. COMMON COUNT FOR SERVICES</li></ul>	
16		PERFORMED; 4. NEGLIGENCE	
17			
18			
19			
20	Plaintiff American President Lines,	Ltd. ("APL") complains against defendant	
21	Forta Corporation ("Forta" or "defendant") and alle	eges as follows:	
22			
23	JURISDICTION A	AND VENUE	
24	1. The following claims are admiralty a	and maritime claims within the meaning of	
25	Rule 9(h) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure a	nd fall within the admiralty jurisdiction of this	
26	Court under 28 U.S.C. section 1333.		

1	2.	Venue is proper in the Northern District of California because a substantial part of		
2	the events giving rise to the claim occurred in the Northern District of California, viz. entering			
3	into and performing under the maritime contracts memorialized in the bill of lading and			
4	applicable tar	applicable tariffs.		
5	<u>PARTIES</u>			
6	3.	Plaintiff American President Lines, Ltd. is, and at all relevant times was, a		
7	corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, residing in			
8	Oakland, California.			
9	4.	APL is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges that defendant Forta		
10	Corporation is	s, and at all relevant times was, a corporation duly organized and existing under the		
11	laws of the State of Pennsylvania.			
12		GENERAL ALLEGATIONS		
13	5.	At all relevant times, APL was and still is an ocean carrier of goods for hire		
14	between, inter alia, the United States and foreign ports.			
15	6.	In or around June 2003, defendant was the owner of a twenty foot container		
16	stuffed with p	paint, concrete additive, and synthetic fiber, for which APL issued a bill of lading		
17	contract (APLU083341568). The shipments were transported by APL from Grove City,			
18	Pennsylvania to Kotka, Finland.			
19	7.	APL, the ocean carrier, issued its bill of lading contract to govern the contractual		
20	relationship between the parties for the shipments.			
21	8.	Clause 1 of APL's bill of lading defines "Merchant" to include		
22		the shipper, consignee, receiver, holder of this bill of lading, owner of the cargo or person entitled to possession of the cargo or having		
23		a present or future interest in the goods and the servants and agents of any of these, all of whom shall be jointly and severally liable to		
24		the carrier for the payment of all freight, and for the performance of the obligations of any of them under this bill of lading.		
25				

1	9.	APL is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that defendant Forta was
2	the owner of	the paint, concrete additive, and synthetic fiber being shipped in the above-

- 3 described container.
- 4 10. Defendant Forta was a "Merchant" under the bills of lading contracts it entered 5 into with APL for the carriage of the goods as described above.
- 6 11. In connection with the aforesaid carriage of goods in June 2003, Forta, as the 7 owner of the cargo and as such, a "Merchant" under the bill of lading contract terms, agreed and 8 otherwise became obligated to pay any and all ancillary costs, fees, and charges associated with 9 the transportation of Forta's cargo.
- 10 12. In addition, APL is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Forta 11 packed and braced the container of paint, concrete additive, and synthetic fiber, prior to 12 tendering the container to APL for transport.
- 13 13. On or about June 20, 2003, APL discovered that Forta's container was leaking paint from its rear doors. APL was forced to call in an environmental response team to assess 14 15 the spill, and conduct clean-up operations. The environmental response team cleaned up the 16 paint, re-packed the container and disposed of the waste, all for Forta's benefit
  - 14. APL, on Forta's behalf, paid the invoice issued by the environmental response team. Subsequently, APL invoiced Forta for \$5,277.64 for the costs associated with responding to Forta's leaking container. Forta has paid nothing towards the \$5,277.64 it owes to APL.
- 20 15. Despite demands by APL, defendant has failed to pay the cost of responding to its 21 leaking container and still owes APL \$5,277.64. Accordingly, there is due and owing to APL, 22 from defendant, the amount of \$5,277.64, in connection with the above-described leaking 23 container and subsequent clean-up.

25 ///

26

24

17

18

19

**FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION** 

1

2		(Breach of Maritime Contract)	
3	16.	APL refers to, and by that reference incorporates as if fully set forth herein, each	
4	and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 15, inclusive, hereinabove.		
5	17.	Pursuant to the terms of the bill of lading contract entered into between the	
6	parties, defen	dant expressly agreed to pay APL all costs and fees associated with booking and	
7	transporting Forta's goods.		
8	18.	APL has performed all of its obligations under the bill of lading contract.	
9	19.	Defendant materially breached the terms of the bill of lading contract entered into	
10	between the parties by failing to pay the environmental clean-up charges as promised.		
11	20.	As a direct and proximate cause of defendant's breach of the bill of lading	
12	contract by fa	iling to pay the environmental clean-up charges owing, APL has suffered damages	
13	in the amount	of \$5,277.64 (excluding interest).	
14			
15		SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION	
16		(Open Account)	
17	21.	APL refers to, and by that reference incorporates as if fully set forth herein, each	
18	and every alle	egation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 20, inclusive, hereinabove.	
19	22.	Defendant owes APL the sum of \$5,277.64 that is due with interest since June	
20	2003, in acco	rdance with the terms of APL's bill of lading contract and tariffs, as more fully	
21	described above.		
22	23.	APL has made demand for payment upon defendant and defendant has	
23	acknowledge	d receipt of said demand.	
24	24.	Defendant has refused to pay and continues to refuse to pay the outstanding sum	
25	due and owin	g.	
26			

26

container.

1		THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
2		(Common Count - Services Performed)
3	25.	APL refers to, and by that reference incorporates as if fully set forth herein, each
4	and every all	egation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 24, inclusive, hereinabove.
5	26.	Defendant is indebted to APL for the amount of \$5,277.64, for the services APL
6	performed at	defendant's request.
7	27.	Defendant has paid nothing; therefore, APL is entitled to recover \$5,277.64,
8	excluding in	terest, for services performed.
9		FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION
10		(Negligence)
11	28.	APL refers to, and by that reference incorporates as if fully set forth herein, each
12	and every all	egation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 27, inclusive, hereinabove.
13	29.	Forta owed APL a duty to stow and pack the above-described container with due
14	care, such the	at the pails of paint therein were secured and stable.
15	30.	Forta breached that duty by failing to properly pack and stow the container. In
16	particular, Fo	orta failed to properly pack and stow the paint pails so that they would not shift
17	during transp	port.
18	31.	Forta's failure to properly pack and stow the paint pails caused one of the pallets
19	of paint to to	pple over inside the container. After the pallet toppled, one of the paint pails began
20	leaking its co	ontents on to the floor of the container, and out of the container's rear doors.
21	32.	APL was forced to call in an environmental response team to assess the spill, and
22	conduct clear	n-up operations. The environmental response team cleaned up the paint, re-packed
23	the container	and disposed of the waste, all for Forta's benefit.
24	33.	APL paid the invoice issued by the environmental response team. Accordingly,
25	APL was da	naged in the amount of \$5,277.64 by Forta's negligent packing and stowing of the

1	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
2	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff American President Lines, Ltd. prays as follows:	
3	1.	The Court enter judgment in APL's favor for the full amount of APL's
4		claim;
5	2.	The Court award APL interest on all sums as provided by law;
6	3.	The Court award APL its costs of suit;
7	4.	The Court award APL such other and further relief as the Court may deem
8		proper.
9		
10	DATED: June 19, 2	2007
11	DATED. Julie 19, 2	:007
12		LUCAS VALLEY LAW
13		
14		By: /s/
15		Mark K. de Langis Attorneys for Plaintiffs
16		AMERICAN PRESIDENT LINES, LTD.
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
<b>26</b>		